UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,658	05/19/2005	J John Shimazaki		7048
J John Shimaza	7590 08/19/200 ki	EXAMINER		
47799 MacGill Court			ELOSHWAY, NIKI MARINA	
Sterling, VA 20165			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/535,658	SHIMAZAKI, J JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NIKI M. ELOSHWAY	3781				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	/ -					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
		3 3.3.2.3.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 23-39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 23-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
,	·					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		• •				
The patrol declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached office Action of form 1 10-102.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attention of the second of the						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔛 Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/30/05</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/535,658 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-3 and 23-39 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,118,005 in view of Thompson (U.S. 5,904,267). U.S. Patent No. 7,118,005 discloses the claimed invention except for the outer wall.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,658 Page 3

Art Unit: 3781

Thompson teaches that it is known to provide a bottle cooler with an inner wall and an outer wall (see col. 5 lines 29-32). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the cooler of U.S. Patent No. 7,118,005 with an outer wall, as set forth in Thompson, in order to increase the insulative properties of the cooler.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3 and 23-39 and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Babb et al. (U.S. 5,406,808) in view of Cramer (U.S. 303,815). Babb et al. teach a cooler 10 for holding a beverage receptacle 54. The cooler comprises a container 12 adapted to enable the beverage receptacle to be inserted at least partially therein, wherein the container has an inner shell 28 and outer shell 24 secured together. The inner shell is provided with a plurality of supports (ribs) 30 extended on the inside for engaging the beverage receptacle. Depending on the size of the bottle, a space for storing ice particles can be formed between the beverage receptacle and the inner shell. The cap 14 is sealed onto the container. The cap has an opening, shown in figure 2, through which a neck of the beverage receptacle can be extended. Babb et al. teach support member on the bottom wall and sidewall. The inner shell has an externally threaded section at 50 for engaging an internally threaded section at 42 on said cap. The handle at 22 extends from the outer wall of the container.

Babb et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the sealing member. Cramer teaches that it is known to provide a bottle cooler with a seal (see g'). It would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the cooler of Babb et al. with a

sealing ring, as taught by Cramer, in order to seal the cavity and protect the bottle neck.

The thickness and/or resiliency of the Cramer seal is sufficient to provide a seal against beverage

Page 4

receptacles that are not made to exact dimensions.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS NON-FINAL.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to NIKI M. ELOSHWAY whose telephone number is (571)272-4538. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Niki M. Eloshway/ Niki M. Eloshway Examiner

L'Xammei

Art Unit 3781

nme